

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO CA 92130-2081

COPY MAILED

JUN 1 4 2005

In re Application of :
Vecchio :
Application No. 10/074,057 :

Filed: February 11, 2002

Attorney Docket No. 15670-032002 For: PROCESS FOR MAKING

METALLIC/INTERMETALLIC COMPOSITE LAMINATE MATERIALS, AND MATERIALS SO PRODUCED ESPECIALLY FOR USE IN

LIGHTWEIGHT ARMOR

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on September 2, 2004 (certificate of mailing date August 27, 2004) to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the November 1, 2002 non-final Office action which set an extendable three (3) month period for reply. No extensions of time having been obtained pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) and no reply being received in the Office, this application became abandoned on February 2, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 21, 2003.

Applicant has submitted an amendment in reply to the November 1, 2002 non-final Office action, an acceptable statement of the unintentional nature of the delay in responding to the November 1, 2002 non-final Office action, and the petition fee.¹

The petition is **GRANTED**.

After the mailing of this decision the application will be forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 3641's technical support staff for processing of the amendment filed on September 2, 2004 (certificate of mailing date August 27, 2004).

¹The statement of unintentional delay presented in the petition does not comply with the current rule. 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional" be submitted. However, the statement presented will be accepted and construed as meaning that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." If this is an incorrect interpretation in view of the rules, petitioner is required to provide a statement to that effect.

Telephone inquiries pertaining to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

E. Shirene Willis

Senior Petitions Attorney

? Shure Willis

Office of Petitions